

# **NACE Standards Development Procedures**

Office of Pipeline Safety  
Research and Development Forum

March 22, 2005

# NACE International, the Corrosion Society

- Formed in 1943 as National Association of Corrosion Engineers
- Professional technical society with 15,000 members worldwide
- 350 technical committees

# NACE Mission Statement

- To protect people, assets, and the environment from the effects of corrosion.

# NACE Standards

- Standards for prevention and control of corrosion
- Performance standards to set minimum recommended conditions or requirements for equipment, systems, methods, materials, or procedures

# NACE Standards

## Three types:

- Standard Recommended Practices (RP) (soon to be **SP**)
- Standard Material Requirements (MR)
- Standard Test Methods (TM)
  
- 125 Current Standards

# Ideas for New Standards

- Industry
- Government
- Anyone may identify need

# Ideas for New Standards

- Anyone may propose new task group
- TG-1 form available on NACE Web site
- Proposed title, assignment, justification, consequences if not written, officers, timeline

# NACE Technical Committee Organization

- **Technical Coordination Committee (TCC)**
  - Overall coordination of technical committees and standards
  - TCC officers and 5 Technology Coordinators are voting members

# Technical Committee (TCC) Organization

- Committee operations organized into 5 Technology Management Groups managing Specific Technology Groups
  - 2 Industry-Specific
  - 2 Cross-Industry
  - 1 Science

# Specific Technology Groups (STGs)

- 30 STGs on topics from oil and gas production to pipelines are voting groups
- STGs have 80 to 300 members
- Nonmembers may also vote on standards by contacting NACE Headquarters

# ANSI-Accredited Standards Developer

- Procedures Approved by American National Standards Institute (ANSI)
- Plans for new standards must be publicized
- Interested parties may participate

# NACE Standards Development

## ■ Task Groups

- Write documents, e.g., standards, reports
  - Reports cannot make recommendations
- Formed when need for standard identified

# Formation of New Task Group

- Technology Coordinator responsible for ensuring no duplication of effort
- Technology Coordinator to assure appropriate technical input
  - Joint Task Group formed if interest among other committees

# Formation of New Task Group

- Proposal voted on by Specific Technology Group (STG) Steering Committee
- Approved by STG chair and Technology Coordinator
- May vote in a meeting or have form circulated by e-mail by Headquarters

# Preparation of Standards

- **Task Group Reaches Consensus**
  - May be in meeting, via e-mail correspondence, or formal ballot
- **Draft Sent to Headquarters**
  - Formatted and edited by staff
  - Reviewed by member editorial committee
  - Staff handles ballot process, advises Task Group

# Ballot Procedures

- Canvass distributed
  - Asks members of sponsoring STGs if they wish to vote on a standard
  - 30-day response time
- Ballot distributed
  - 6-week ballot period; 50% response from distribution list required

# Ballot Procedures

- Votes may be affirmative, negative, or abstaining
- Task Group must address all comments
- Negative votes must be resolved by convincing negative voter of Task Group's point of view, or by making changes to draft

# Handling Ballot Results

- Negative votes resolved by personal contact, phone, letter, or e-mail
- Negative voters and those with comments invited to Task Group meeting
- Open review in sponsoring committee meeting; all allowed to express views

# Handling Ballot Results

- **If negative vote not resolved:**
- Reballot required
  - Negative vote attached to reballot
  - Statement from Task Group also attached
  - Vote only on changes

# Handling Negatives

- Cannot change anyone's vote without permission (ANSI Rules)
- Negative votes not pertaining to the proposal or changes being voted on need not be addressed
  - Comments will not be solicited
  - No response to voter necessary

# Handling Negatives

- If unresolved negatives remain and/or technical changes are made, reballot is required
  - 90% affirmative, excluding abstentions, for reballot to pass
  - Negatives must be addressed but do not have to be resolved

# Reaffirmation, Revision, Withdrawal

- All standards reviewed every five years and reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn
- Must be completed every five years
- Begin review 1 to 2 years before 5-year date

# Reaffirmation, Revision, Withdrawal

- If reaffirmation recommended, vote can be taken in committee meeting
  - Editorial changes, not technical changes, allowed
  - Done when committee members believe no technical changes are needed

# Reaffirmation, Revision, Withdrawal

- If revision recommended, Task Group formed
  - Same procedures followed as for new standard
  - Standard may be reaffirmed to allow time for revision to be completed

# Reaffirmation, Revision, Withdrawal

- If withdrawal recommended, ballot sent to sponsoring STG members
  - Must have reason for withdrawal
- Automatic withdrawal may occur if:
  - Committee not showing measurable progress
  - Revision/reaffirmation not completed by year 7

# Completion of Standards

- Common causes of delays:
  - Completion of initial draft
  - Resolving negative votes
  - Non-response of chairs

# Streamlining of Process

- One committee level of voting
- Online and e-mail voting
- Non-response by committee officers after 30 days = approval

# Streamlining of Process

- What else can be done?
  - Shorten ballot period?
  - Pay for preparation of drafts
  - Pay for resolution of negatives/revision of draft
  - Pay contingent on completion of publication

# Questions?

- For more information, contact:

Linda Goldberg

Director, Technical Activities

Phone: 281-228-6221

E-mail: [linda.goldberg@nace.org](mailto:linda.goldberg@nace.org)

Web site: [www.nace.org](http://www.nace.org)